How to Interpret Z_{DR} Shade Charts


Lindsey M. Richardson, W. David Zittel, Dan B. Frashier, Amy E. Daniel, and Jessica A. Schultz

Build 22+



What's a Shade Chart?

- A graphical way to monitor Z_{DR} bias from a single radar site
 - Information
 from the most
 recent 6 months



What's a Shade Chart? (Cont.)

- Based on 3 independent external target methods:
 - Light Rain
 - Dry Snow
 - Bragg Scatter
- Event characteristics are different between the methods

Why do we care about Z_{DR} Bias*?


- Z_{DR} bias shows the amount of error in ZDR Offset
- Z_{DR} bias can have adverse affects on Quantitative Precipitation Estimation (QPE)
 - A positive Z_{DR} bias results in underestimation
 - A negative Z_{DR} bias results in overestimation
- Z_{DR} affects other products as well
 - Melting Layer Detection Algorithm (MLDA)
 - Particularly "wet snow"
 - Hydrometeor Classification Algorithm (HCA)
 - Specific Z_{DR} thresholds for categories


^{*} This is not the same as ZDR Offset but is related


Why external targets?

- External targets act as an estimation metric independent from the built-in hardware estimates
 - Additional measurement to verify built-in hardware results
- Methods work with operational scanning strategies and products

What's on a Shade Chart?








Did you notice?

- The dashed lines?
- The black numbers at the top of the shading in places?
- The gap in shading?
- Each subplot contains information from an independent method?
- The chart has a trend in time?

Let's focus on a single subplot and explore these details

Layout of Each Subplot






Time (6 Months Ago ----> Past Month)


Most Recent 6 months of Data

Z_{DR} Bias Estimates from Events




- Many, highly-varying scatter points
 - Events may vary greatly from one to another
 - Events are defined per method in later slides
- Events are OK, but what if we took a 7-day median?

Grouping for Shading



Zoom in to focus on smaller time scale


7-Day Running Median



- For each 7-day grouping, a median is calculated from the points
 - Days 1-7, 2-8, 3-9, etc.
- Shading vertex placed on middle day of 7-day set
- Each of the colored boxes above represent a separate set

7-Day Median Calculation


Site A Systematic Z_{DR} Bias Jun'15-Nov'15 Based on Δ_{Rain} (dB)



Go from just having points...

7-Day Median Calculation


Site A Systematic Z_{DR} Bias (7-day median shading) Jun'15-Nov'15 Based on Δ_{Rain} (dB)



...to having shading.


7-Day Median Shading

Site A Systematic Z_{DR} Bias (7-day median shading) Jun'15-Nov'15 Based on Δ_{Rain} (dB)



- Long-term median trend shows a continuing bias
 - Positive (red-shaded) values are considered warm or high
 - Negative (blue-shaded) values are considered cool or low
- Sites are beyond recommended limits if the shading is regularly above (below) the dashed 0.2 dB (-0.2 dB) line

7-Day Median Shading Cont.



- Medians beyond the y-axis limits are shown as a number near the top
- No shading means the data is either missing, not available, or equals 0.0 dB exactly
- Will interpolate if only missing one shade value between two valid points

7-Day Median Shading Cont. Where are the scatter points? Site A Systematic Z_{DR} Bias (7-day median shading) Jun'15-Nov'15 Based on Δ_{Rain} (dB)

 Event scatter points outside of the y-axis limits (±0.5 dB) are not shown


06/14 06/22 06/28 0/102 0/12 0/12 08/08/08/08/08/08/08/28 08

 Z_{DR} (dB)

 Recall that median values from shading outside of the limits are represented by the black numbers at the top

. Rain


Compare Trends from Multiple External Target Methods



What do the methods detect?


Each method is independent and includes distinct caveats

- 2 Methods Related to Precipitation:
 - Light Rain (liquid precipitation)
 - Dry Snow (frozen precipitation)



- 1 Method Related to Clear Air
 - Bragg scattering associated with refractivity gradients

Click here to skip method details and jump to interpretation



Light Rain Method

- Light rain has characteristics of slightly-wide (slightlyoblate) spheres
- Base Filters:
 - SNR >= 20 dB
 - -19.0 < Z < 21.0
 - $-0.98 < \rho_{HV} < 1.00$
 - Range Gate must at least 1 km below the bottom of the Melting Layer
- Additional Filters
 - Total Range Gates that pass Base Filters >= 600
 - $0.125 \le ZDR_{IOR} \le 0.35 \text{ dB}$
 - $-0.0625 \le ZDR_{MFDAD} \le 0.25$
 - 15.0 <= Z90th <= 23.0 dBZ
 - $8.0 \le Z_{IOR} \le 16.0 \text{ dB}$
 - 0.3 <= PHI_{IQR} <= 3.3 $^{\circ}$

Light Rain Method Cont.

- Daily Median (scatter points on chart)
 - An estimate is calculated each volume via:
 ZDR Mode ZDR Intrinsic
 - ZDR Intrinsic for Light Rain in this reflectivity range is 0.25 dB
 - The most recent 12 volumes are averaged together
 - Both the single volume and 12-volume average can be found in the RPG Status Log or in the ASP on lines that begin with "ZDRBE"
 - For a single date (based on UTC time), the median of the 12-volume averages defines the Daily Median
- Convective processes and other particle contamination can bias Z_{DR} estimates high
- Intrinsic ZDR Subtraction factor can bias Z_{DR} estimates low

^{*} The ASP is a product version of the RPG Status Log available from archive sources such as NCEI.

Dry Snow Method

- Only uses range gates classified as Dry Snow (dry aggregates) by the Hydrometeor Classification Algorithm (HCA)
 - Dry aggregates appear similar to spheroids when they fall
 - Snow does NOT have to be reaching the surface
- Base Filters:
 - Range > 20 km
 - 15 dBZ < Z < 25 dBZ
 - Flevations > 1°
 - SNR >= 20 dB,
 - $-0.98 < RHO_{HV} < 1.0$
 - PHI < 100°
 - Range gate must be within the first 1km completely above the melting layer
- Additional Filters
 - Must have at least 600 Z_{DR} range gates that pass filters per volume.
 - Standard deviation $Z_{DR} < 0.5 \text{ dB}$

Note: Aggregates are clumps of frozen precipitation (particularly ice crystals)

Dry Snow Method Cont.

- Daily Median Events
 - An estimate is calculated each volume via:
 ZDR Mode ZDR Intrinsic
 - ZDR Intrinsic used for Dry Aggregate Snow is 0.20 dB
 - The most recent 12 volumes are averaged together
 - Both the single volume and 12-volume average can be found in the RPG Status Log or in the ASP on lines that begin with "ZDRBE"
 - For a single date (based on UTC time), the median of the 12-volume averages defines the Daily Median
- Can be estimated at the same time as a rain Event as long as dry aggregate snow is observed above the melting layer
- Dendrites and Platelets can bias Z_{DR} estimates high
- Subtraction factor can bias Z_{DR} estimates low

Bragg Scatter Method

- Bragg distinguished by refractivity gradients generally caused by turbulent eddies
 - Often found at the top of the Convective Boundary Layer and Marine Boundary Layer
- Base Filters:
 - 10-80 km in range only
 - -Z < 10 dBZ
 - |V| > 2 m/s
 - -W>0 m/s
 - SNR < 15 dB
 - $-0.98 < \rho_{HV} < 1.05$
 - Elevations 2.4-4.5°
- Additional Filters:
 - Must have at least 600 Z_{DR} range gates that pass filters per volume
 - Z at the 90th percentile =< -3 dBZ (precipitation filter)
 - Inter-Quartile Range (IQR) < 0.9 (biota filter)

Bragg Scatter Method Cont.

- Daily Median Events
 - An estimate is calculated each volume via:
 ZDR Mode ZDR Intrinsic
 - ZDR Intrinsic used for Bragg Scatter is 0.00 dB
 - The most recent 12 volumes are averaged together but only if there are at least 10,000 range gates of potential Bragg estimates in those 12 volumes.
 - Both the single volume and 12-volume average can be found in the RPG Status Log or in the ASP on lines that begin with "ZDRBE"
 - For a single date (based on UTC time), the median of the 12volume averages defines the Daily Median
- Precipitation contamination can bias Z_{DR} high
- Return from Bragg scattering has a weak signal, and if noise is comparable to the signal it could bias the estimate towards 0.0 dB
 - Assuming the noise estimates are similar in both H and V channels

Method Availability


- Light rain is less available during the cool season, especially at northern continental sites
- Dry snow can be found year round at most sites
- Bragg scatter less available due to stringent filters
 - Also less available in the warm season due to biota (bugs, birds, etc.) contamination

Rely on More Than One Method When Possible!

- When all 3 methods show a similar bias, there
 is high confidence in the indicated bias
 - All methods are not always available
- The trend is the important aspect
 - Recommend at least two weeks of data to establish a baseline

Full-Chart Recap: Compare the Methods

- Z_{DR} is high (above and near the positive limit) for the majority of the time in all three methods
- Focus on most recent month
- Compare with previous months for overall trend




Monthly Summary

- The median of each method is calculated for the most recent month (not shown)
- These medians are then used to calculate a Weighted Mean (WMean) Estimate (displayed in the top-right box)
 - Weights are based on method estimation accuracy
 - Bragg scatter: 42% weight
 - Dry Snow: 33% weight
 - Light Rain: 25% weight

Note: Volume-based Weighted Means using the same weights are shown on ZDRBE Status lines.

Monthly Summary


- The monthly
 WMean box is
 color coded
 (matches shade
 color when
 beyond recommended limits)
- It will say NaN
 (Not-a-Number) if
 there are no
 estimates for an
 entire month




Shade Interpretation: "Good" Site

Nov'15 WMean Site B Systematic Z_{DR} Bias (7-day median shading) Jun'15-Nov'15 Within Limits (All 3 Methods): Based on Δ_{Rain} (dB) -0.00 0.5 +0.2 dB Z_{DR} (dB) Close to zero and within recommended Based on Δ_{Snow} (dB) limits for all 0.5 methods --- + 0.2 dB Z_{DR} (dB) Based on Δ_{Bragg} (dB) 0.5 +0.2 dB Z_{DR} (dB) - 0.2 dB x Bragg


Shade Interpretation: Another "Good" Site




Shade Interpretation: Warm Bias




Shade Interpretation: Cold Bias



Shade Interpretation: Site Improves






Shade Interpretation: Disagreement

Disagreement possible due to the independent method caveats


 Site bias is likely around 0.0 dB in this case

Within limits
 on both sides,
 so less priority
 to take action




6-Month Time Window

Shading features are consistent for a given month




6-Month Time Window

Features move to the left



6-Month Time Window


Some features move off with the moving time window



Redundant Sites (Ch1 Example)


Attempt to isolate

- The ROC will send



Redundant Sites (Ch2 example)


Sometimes the plots only have info in one Channel. This may be a plotting error if the site is routinely switching channels as suggested.



Shade Chart Availability

- Shade Charts are available with frequent updates via the Data Quality Dashboard on the MSCF
 - Click on the big Main Gauge or select from the menu
- They are also available from the ROC webpage and updated monthly:
 - https://www.roc.noaa.gov/branches/operationsbranch/wsr88d-hotline-links-info.php

Shade Chart in DQD



Summary

- A shade chart is a quick way to assess if a site has a Z_{DR} bias and the approximate magnitude of the bias
 - Sites with biases outside of the ±0.2 dB range are considered to be Beyond Recommended Limits
 - Z_{DR} bias adversely affects several products, especially QPE
- Charts can help track when maintenance was performed and if it helped (e.g., had a large bias and was corrected to within limits)
 - Can also see if a site has a new or drifting hardware issue (e.g., site was within limits and jumped to a large bias)

Summary Cont.

- We are still exploring the details of the external target methods!
 - External targets are an independent, extra metric to the built-in hardware estimates that work with operational scanning strategies and products
 - Each method has unique caveats and variability in accuracy
 - Some aspects of variability remain unknown
- By using multiple methods, there is higher confidence a site does or does not have a bias

Within Limits is OK!

- Achieving an exact Z_{DR} bias estimates of 0.0 dB can be difficult because the variability of the methods and built-in hardware often exceed \pm 0.1 dB
 - The trend of median bias estimates falling within ± 0.2 dB should be sufficient for most algorithms and visual analysis

Extra Information

 Read publications and more by visiting the WSR-88D Hotline site:

https://www.roc.noaa.gov/branches/operations-branch/wsr88d-hotline-links-info.php

Other articles can be found at ROC Papers:

https://www.roc.noaa.gov/branches/field-requirements-branch/reports-and-conferences.php